

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,150	02/21/2002		Chun-Yang Lee	BPA-3 1758	
7	590 0	05/28/2003			
Ying Tuo			EXAMINER		
10 Shaniko CM P O Box 14158			HENDERSON, MARK T		
Fremont, CA 94539				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3722	
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/069,150		LEE, CHUN-YANG					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mark T Henderson		3722					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 F	-ebruary 2003 .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-fin	al.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-10</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>03 March 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisiona	l application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment	(s)								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 7					

Application/Control Number: 10/069,150

Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9302 (Official) and (703)872-9303 (for After Finals). This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

1. Claims 1-3 and 5-10 have been amended for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3722

Claims 1-3 and 5-10, are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 2. In Claim 1, it is not understood what is meant by a "bendable surface". A "surface" usually denotes a location, so how is a location bendable? Furthermore in Claim 1, it is not understood what is meant by "a protection surface for mounting the bookmark clip". How can a "surface" be used for mounting?
- 3. In Claim 5, line 4, applicant discloses "a bookmark clip that is formed on the bookmark body to clamp a book cover or at least one content page of a book". Applicant then discloses a contradiction in line 8, "wherein the bookmark clip can clip inner pages of a book to insert the bookmark body between to pages of the book". What if the "bookmark clip is not clipped to the "inner pages", but is clamped to a "book cover"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3722

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dulberger.

Dulberger discloses a bookmark body (11 and 12) that contains a bookmark clip (10) to clamp to book covers or content pages, wherein the book mark body is made of any desirable material which can be used for protection; and a bendable surface (13) which include a plurality of folding lines (F1 and F2), and a folding sheet (14) capable of being inserted into inner pages of the book.

However, Dulberger does not discloses wherein the bookmark body is mounted on the bookmark clip; a bookmark clip having a width equal to the bookmark body and made of plastic, metal or other resilient material.

In regards to **Claim 1**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the clip and body as two separately mounted pieces, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

Furthermore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to construct the clip in any desirable width, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPO 237 (CCPA 1955).

Art Unit: 3722

In regards to Claim 2, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the clip in any desirable material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

In regards to Claims 5 and 10, the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Therefore, the process of forming a bookmark body and clip will not be given patentable weight, since the prior art discloses a bookmark body and clip.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3, 7 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3722

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on February 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to applicant's argument that the Lee reference does not disclose wherein the "bookmark clip has a width that is substantially equal to that of the bookmark body, the examiner submits that it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to construct the clip in any desirable width, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 3722

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Prior Art References

The prior art references listed in the attached PTO-892, but not used in a rejection of the claims, are cited for (their/its) structure. Bershad, Drew, Andler, Salz et al, Turetsky, and McClosky disclose a bookmark.

Art Unit: 3722

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

May 13, 2003

A. L. WELLINGTON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700